| | (Rel.95-7/03 | Pub.605 |
|---|--------------|---------|
| \ | 120222 | |

FORM 9-3

Practitioner's Docket No.

12166

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Katrina Schmidt et al Application No.: Group No.:

Filed: 8/20/03

10/644,450

Examiner:

1711

Not Assigned

For: FORMULATED RESIN COMPONENT FOR USE IN A SPRAY-IN-PLACE

FOAM SYSTEM TO PRODUCE A LOW DENSITY POLYURETHANE FOAM

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INQUIRY

| | | in patent term adjustment under 3 111–112, June 26, 2001. | a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG |
|---------------|----------|--|---|
| 1. N | More : | than 15 months have pa | assed since |
| - | XX | NEW APPLICATIONS A | August 20, 2003 |
| | | the filing of this application of | on |
| | | No communication has beer indicating action on this app | n received from the Patent and Trademark Office lication. |
| | | AMENDED APPLICATIONS | ' <i>.</i> |
| | | the filing of a response on _ | : • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • |
| | | No further communication had Office. | as been received from the Patent and Trademark |
| | | APPEALED APPLICATION | |
| | | The Appeal Brief was file | ed on |
| , | | (When using Express Mail, the | IR 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* Express Mail label number is mandatory; certification is optional.) |
| 1 hereb | y certi | ify that, on the date shown below, th | nis correspondence is being: |
| | | | MAILING |
| | | d with the United States Postal Servic), Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 | e in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. |
| • • | | 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) | 37 C.F.R. § 1.10 [★] |
| W wit | th suffi | cient postage as first class mail. | ☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" |
| · | | · | Mailing Label No (mandatory) |
| | | ŢŖ | ANSMISSION |
| ☐ fac | simile | transmitted to the Patent and Trader | mark Office, (703) |
| | | | Soi D'Hass. |
| | ``` | 2121 | Signature |
| Date: 1111104 | | | |
| | | | Lori D. Hass |
| | | | |

^{*} Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(check and complete applicable items below)

| | ☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on |
|---|--|
| ` | ☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on |
| | ALLOWED APPLICATIONS |
| | the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on |

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

"NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

"AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

| | - Jela 1 |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| | SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER |
| Reg. No.: 34,780 | Fernando A. Borrego |
| | (type or print name of practitioner) |
| Tel. No.: (734) 324-6193 | 1609 Biddle Avenue |
| (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | P.O. Address |
| 20404 | Wyandotte, MI 48192 |
| Customer No.: 28484 | |

| ~ | | | •• | • | |
|-----|---|--|----|---|--|
| 9-3 | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |

(Rel.95-7/03 Pub.605)

9_13

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

FORM

| APPLIC | ATIC | N SERIAL NO. 0 /10/644,450 IS CURRENTLY |
|---------------|------|--|
| KXK | ASS | SIGNED TO GROUP1.7.1.1 AND AWAITS: |
| | | ACTION BY THE EXAMINER. |
| | | APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |
| APPEAL | . NO | |
| | | WAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER- CES |
| | | DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED |
| | | DECISION EXPECTED |